

**Check List for Review of RCA Form RE 301, Application Form for IXC's and AOS
providers NOT currently certificated**

File No.: RX-21-016

Entity Name: AccessLine Communications Corporation

Date Filed: March 18, 2021

Instructions to Staff:

Clerical Staff should fill in the File No., Entity Name and Date Filed lines above.

Clerical and Common Carrier Staff should review the application and complete sections 1 – 4 below. Use the information below to complete section 3 and 4 of this checklist.

- *If your answer to question 1, 2, 3a, 3b, or 4 in Section 1, below, is NO, the application is incomplete.*
- *If your answer to any question except 8 or 9 in Section 2, below, is NO, the application is incomplete.*
- *If applicant has responded affirmatively to preliminary question iv of Section 1 of RCA Form RE301, but your response to question 8 in Section 2 below is NO, the application is incomplete.*
- *If applicant has responded affirmatively to preliminary question v of Section 1 of RCA Form RE301, but your response to question 9 in section 2 below is NO, the application is incomplete.*
- *If applicant requests waiver, notify the assigned Staff Docket Manager or the Common Carrier Section Manager.*

Section 1: Preliminary Questions

1. This filing includes Application Form RE 301? Yes X No ____
2. Application includes \$100 registration fee? Yes X No ____
3. Will applicant be providing prepaid services (question v)? Yes ____ No X
 - a. If yes, has applicant either included \$5,000 bond with its application or currently have a \$5,000 bond on file with the Commission? Yes ____ No ____
 - b. If applicant is not providing any prepaid services, has applicant included a \$1,000 bond with its application or currently have a \$1,000 bond on file with the Commission? Yes ____ No X
4. Did applicant include a completed MUD form? Yes ____ No X
5. Did applicant request for a waiver of any Commission rules? Yes X No ____

Section 2: Application Form

	1	2	3
1) Entity name. <i>Name provided?</i>	Yes <u>X</u>	No <u> </u>	Not sure <u> </u>
2) Address (National and/or Alaska). <i>Address provided?</i>	Yes <u>X</u>	No <u> </u>	Not sure <u> </u>
3) <i>Name, title and phone no. of entity's liaison provided?</i>	Yes <u>X</u>	No <u> </u>	Not sure <u> </u>
4) Type of business structure. <i>Response provided?</i>	Yes <u>X</u>	No <u> </u>	Not sure <u> </u>
5) Proof of authority to do business. <i>Did applicant provide copy of Alaska business license?</i>	Yes <u>X</u>	No <u> </u>	Not sure <u> </u>
6) List of owners of 5% or more of the entity's equity. <i>Response provided?</i>	Yes <u>X</u>	No <u> </u>	Not sure <u> </u>
7) List of affiliated interests. <i>Response provided?</i>	Yes <u>X</u>	No <u> </u>	Not sure <u> </u>
8) List of administrative or judicial proceedings.	Yes <u>X</u>	No <u> </u>	Not sure <u> </u>
9) List of cases and locations of abandoned service. <i>Response provided?</i>	Yes <u>X</u>	No <u> </u>	Not sure <u> </u>
10) List of names of key management. <i>Response provided?</i>	Yes <u>X</u>	No <u> </u>	Not sure <u> </u>
11) List of services. <i>Response provided?</i>	Yes <u>X</u>	No <u> </u>	Not sure <u> </u>
12) Has applicant provided a copy (paper and e-copy in html) of its on-line tariff?	Yes <u> </u>	No <u>X</u>	Not sure <u> </u>
13) Tariff content verification form. <i>Is form completed, dated, and signed?</i>	Yes <u> </u>	No <u>X</u>	Not sure <u> </u>
14) Internet address of on-line tariff. <i>Address provided?</i>	Yes <u>X</u>	No <u> </u>	Not sure <u> </u>
15) Has applicant identified how resold services will be obtained? <i>If item D (other) has been selected, check "Not Sure".</i>	Yes <u>X</u>	No <u> </u>	Not sure <u> </u>
16) Section 3 of Application Form: Has applicant signed the verification in Section 3?	Yes <u>X</u>	No <u> </u>	Not sure <u> </u>

Section 3: Clerical Review

My review indicates:

1. The application is complete.
2. **X** The application is incomplete.

Additional explanation (if required)

The applicant only provided a receipt for a bond, not the actual bond. They also did not provide a mud form.

Clerical review completed by:

Name: Lael Henry Initials: LH

Date: April 1, 2021

Check List for Clerical Review of Registration Application Form RE 301 (IXC and AOS)

Revised 10/5/2015

Section 4: Staff Docket Manager Review

SDM concurs with Clerical Review ☒

SDM does not concur with Clerical Review ☐

SDM recommends approval of Application ☐

Additional Explanation (if required):

SDM Review completed by:

Name: Claire Knudsen- Latta Initials: CKL

Date: 4/1/2021

Section 5: Supervisor Review

Supervisor concurs with SDM Review ☒

Supervisor does not concur with SDM Review ☐

Explanation (if required):

I reviewed the application and the instructions and I don't see an explicit requirement to

remit a MUD form, though it appears on the checklist. It doesn't appear anywhere in 3 AAC 52.358 and I

don't think it could serve as a singular basis for rejecting an application. There is no evidence of a current

bond - the bond receipt provided expires in 2019, and this suffices.

Action Authorized by:

Name: David Parrish

Title: Common Carrier Section Manager

Signature:  Date: Apr 1, 2021